

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/060,126	(01/29/2002	Craig Jyringi	13810-002002	3537
26161	7590	03/14/2005		EXAM	INER
FISH & RI	CHARDS	SON PC	CHEN, JOSE V		
	225 FRANKLIN ST BOSTON, MA 02110			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
BOSTON, I				3637	

DATE MAILED: 03/14/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	,	
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/060,126	JYRINGI, CRAIG
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	José V. Chen	3637
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RETHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, in the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by some Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the rearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a rent. n. a reply within the statutory minimum of thirtyeriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT. tatute, cause the application to become ABA	ply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on €	03 October 2003.	
· = · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · - ·	This action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for alled closed in accordance with the practice under the condition of the cond	•	• •
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-26 is/are pending in the applica 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) ☐ Claim(s) 1-14 is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 15-26 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	drawn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Exar 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co	accepted or b) objected to be the drawing(s) be held in abeyand rrection is required if the drawing(s)	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for form a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a	nents have been received. nents have been received in Appriority documents have been reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this National Stage
Attachment(s)	_	
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		ımmary (PTO-413) /Mail Date
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SE Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	′	formal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 10/060,126

Art Unit: 3637

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claim15, 18, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Lechman. The patent to Lechman teaches structure as claimed including a desk body comprising a front panel, a back panel, right and left panels, bottom panel (figs. 1-2), the panels in combination defining an enclosed desk volume (figs. 5, 6), a desk top (14) mounted to the desk body defining a writing surface which defines a transparent region, elements of the computer disposed within the enclosed desk volume (fig. 2), a computer display (figs. 10, 12), the display parallel and angular relationship to the plane of the writing surface

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/060,126

Art Unit: 3637

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claim 19 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over in view of Lechman in view of Schairbaum. The patent to Lechman teaches structure substantially as claimed, as discussed above including a desk body comprising a front panel, a back panel, right and left panels, bottom panel (figs. 1-2), the panels in combination defining an enclosed desk volume (figs. 5, 6), a desk top (14) mounted to the desk body defining a writing surface which defines a transparent region, elements of the computer disposed within the enclosed desk volume (fig. 2), a computer display (figs. 10, 12), the display parallel and angular relationship to the plane of the writing surface, the only difference being that the legs are not adjustable. However, the patent to Schairbaum teaches the use of providing at least one adjustable leg structure. It would have been obvious and well within the level of one having ordinary skill in the art to modify the structure of Lechman to include adjustable leg structure to provide ergonomics, as taught by Schairbaum since such structures are conventional alternative structures used in the same intended purpose, thereby providing structure as claimed.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 1-14 are allowable over the prior art of record.

Application/Control Number: 10/060,126

Art Unit: 3637

Claims 16, 17 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 10/03/03 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to José V. Chen whose telephone number is (703) 308-3229. The examiner can normally be reached on m-f,m-th 5:30am-3:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lanna Mai can be reached on (703)308-2168. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free)

José V. Cheń Primary Examiner Art Unit 3637

Chen/jvc 03-09-05